

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
09/501,793	02/10/00	PARRISH		R	P30321US	
\vdash			٦	EXAMINER		
PM92/0103 Gordon T Arnold				FISCHER A		
Arnold & Associates				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2603 August Suite 800 Houston TX				2167	3	
	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,				01/03/01	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Application No. Applicant(s) 09/501,793 Rob G. Parrish Office Action Summary Group Art Unit Examiner Andrew J. Fischer 2167

Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____ This action is **FINAL**. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire ______month(s), or thirty days whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extensions of time may be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). Disposition of Claims is/are pending in the application. Of the above, claim(s) ______ is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) ______ is/are allowed. Claim(s) ______is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. The drawing(s) filed on ______ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐approved ☐disapproved. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been received. received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *Certified copies not received: ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e). Attachment(s) ☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948 ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 --- SEE OFFICE ACTION ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES ---

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-95)

Application/Control Number: 09/501,793

Art Unit: 2167

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-20 and 37-58, drawn to a storage compartment classified in class 296, subclass 37.1.
 - II. Claims 21-28 and 29-36, drawn to a method and a system, classified in class 280, subclass 504.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions do not have to be used together, (e.g. the system does not require a trailer hitch mounting portion. Furthermore, they have different modes of operation because the storage compartment may be used to store any number of things while the system is designed to act in a particular function (e.g. "limiting the reciprocation between the mounting member ...").
- Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above, because the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, and because the inventions have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/501,793

Art Unit: 2167

4. A telephone call was made to Jeffery S. Schubert on December 20, 2000 to request an

oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew J. Fischer whose telephone number is (703) 305-0292.

ANDREW J. FISCHER
PATENT EXAMINER

ROBERT P. OLSZEWSKI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

AJF January 2, 2001